No. MCI-5(3)/2002-Med./

MEDICAL COUNCIL OF INDIA

EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on Monday the 5th August, 2002 at 11.00 am in the Council office at New Delhi.

** ** **

Present:

Maj Gen (Retd) SP Jhingon - Administrator

Dr. P.C. Kesavankutty Nair

Dr. K.S. Chugh

Dr. C. Das

Dr. P.M. Jadhav

Dr. S.K. Sinha

Dr. (Mrs.) Usha Sharma

Dr.(Mrs.) M. Sachdeva - Secretary

1. Minutes of the Executive Committee held on 31/1/2002 & 24/6/2002 – Confirmation of.

The Executive Committee considered the minutes of the meeting held on 31.1.2002 as corrected by the office as per the observations made in the minutes of its meeting held on 18.2.2002. The Committee also noted the letter dated 25.07.2002 wherein while sending the agenda papers of the Executive Committee meeting to be held on 05.08.2002 informed the members that except for incorporation of the paragraphs regarding payment of legal expenses of the Executive Committee members and the lawyers appointed by the Administrator, appointment of Dr. Dinesh Chandra for surprise inspection for carrying out the inspection of renewal of permission for admission of 5th batch of students at Vinayaka Mission Medeical College, Karaikal, Pondicherry, the minutes of the EC meeting held on 31.1.2002 are corrected.

In the same letter it was also informed that the note appended by the Administrator towards the end of minutes as circulated earlier has also not been removed in light of the observation of the Administrator that these minutes have been filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court in that form.

The Executive Committee did not agree to accept and confirm the minutes in the form in which they have again been written disregarding the facts which were discussed in the meeting of the Committee on 31.1.2002. The members were of the opinion that the same will be confirmed only if the necessary corrections are made as per the observations of the Committee at its meeting held on 18.02.2002. It was pointed out that the minutes be corrected according to the observations which then only would reflect the correctness of the recording of the minutes as per the discussions held.

After much deliberations, Administrator agreed to delete the note appended at the end of the minutes and to add paragraph after the two resolutions which were passed by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 31.1.2002 which reads as under:-

"The Committee also decided that the members who have filed the SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court will bear their own legal expenses. Similarly the Executive Committee unanimously decided that the lawyers who were appointed by the Administrator will not be paid by the Council and will be paid by the Administrator."

The Administrator also agreed to record the following paragraph as decided by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 18.02.2002 under item No. 8 (action taken report of EC meeting held on 03.10.2001) i.e. "Vinayaka Mission Medical College, Karaikal – Renewal of permission for admission of 5th batch of students":-

"The action taken by the office on this item was noted. However, the members raised certain issues regarding change in the procedure of appointment of inspectors and the decision of the Administrator debarring the Council members from being appointed as Inspectors. The Executive Committee further noted that one Dr. Dinesh Chandra was appointed by the Administrator to conduct the surprise inspection of this college. Since the authority of appointing inspectors lies only with the Executive Committee, the appointment of Dr. Dinesh Chandra was declared unauthorised which was not according to rules and regulations of the Council. The Committee also observed that the Administrator had over stepped his position and tried to change the rules and regulations of appointment of members of the inspection team and decided to disapprove the same. Further the Committee unanimously decided and directed the Secretary to withdraw the letter dated 21.1.2002 addressed to members of the inspection team who were suppose to accompany the Whole-time Inspectors or alone in accordance with the rules and regulations."

Accordingly the minutes were corrected and a copy of the same was placed before the Committee. The minutes were confirmed and it was decided to circulate the same to the members of the Committee.

The members wanted to know as to why the surprise inspection of Vinayaka Mission Medical College, Karaikal, Pondicherry was ordered by the Administrator. Was it on receipt of the written complaint on or a verbal complaint? The members directed the office to produce a copy of the complaint on the basis of which the surprise inspection was ordered.

The office tried to locate complaint from the concerned file pertaining to the Medical College and the general file where the complaints are kept. No complaint could be traced as the same was not available in either of the files. Searching this complaint took about an hour. It was then informed to the members that the surprise inspection was perhaps carried out on receipt of a verbal/telephonic complaint by the Administrator. No further

details about this complaint were available and name of the person making the complaint was also not known at this point of time.

The members desired to know that if the surprise inspection of Vinayaka Mission Medical College, Karaikal was carried out then why the same was not placed before the Executive Committee for its consideration. It was informed that the inspection report was not available on record in the Section concerned. However, the report of Dr. Dinesh Chandra was then procured from Dr. P. Kumar, Deputy Secretary and placed before the members of the Executive Committee for their consideration.

The members opined that no satisfactory explanation has been given by the office regarding carrying out the surprise inspection which also never formed part of the any of the Executive Committee meetings held thereafter and has been made available to the members only on 05.08.2002. This report may form a part of the agenda of the Executive Committee meeting being held today.

The Committee noted that this two page report was very sketchy and did not provide any information with regard to the details of the infrastructural facilities available including the staff and clinical material etc., hence, no cognizance be taken on this report. Moreover, it was noted that a verification of compliance had already been arranged which was carried out on 19th & 20th Feb.,2002, the report of which was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24.04.2002 and due recommendations made after considering the report.

Confirmation of the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 24.06.2002

The minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 24.06.2002 were also confirmed.

2. <u>Minutes of the last meetings of the Executive Committee – Action</u> taken thereon.

The Executive Committee noted the action taken by the office on the various items included in the minutes of EC meetings held on 22/5/2002 with following observations:-

In the minutes of the meeting of the Executive Committee held on 24.4.2002 it was recorded that "Administrator informed that he has filed an IA before the Hon'ble Supreme Court".

The Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22.05.2002 while discussing the action taken report of EC meeting held on 24.04.2002 had informed that "the matter with regard to bearing the charges on the IA filed by the Administrator was discussed when the Executive Committee unanimously resolved that as the Council and Executive Committee were not taken into confidence by the Administrator before filing any IA and further that the Administrator is defending himself and not the Council by

the Advocates engaged by him, the legal expenses incurred on defending this IA, will be borne by the Administrator himself and not by the Council".

Against the above paragraph under action taken report of 22.05.2002 it was recorded that "as per direction of the Administrator legal expenses are being paid by the MCI for Advocate engaged by Administrator".

In the Executive Committee meeting held on 24.4.2002 it was decided that the members who have filed the SLP before the Hon'ble Supreme Court will bear their own legal expenses. Similarly the Executive Committee unanimously decided that the lawyers who were appointed by the Administrator will not be paid by the Council and will be paid by the Administrator".

Under the action taken report of 24.04.2002 it was only recorded noted.

The Executive Committee at its meeting held on 22.05.2002 informed that the matter was also discussed in the General Body meeting held on 30th April/1st May,2002 where the decision taken by the Executive Committee that "the lawyers who were appointed by the Administrator will not be paid by the Council and will be paid by the Administrator". The Committee directed the Secretary to write a letter in this reference to the Administrator and to the Govt. of India and further decided to recover the fees paid to the lawyers engaged by the Administrator in personal capacity.

Against this para under the action taken report of 22.05.2002 it was recorded that "no fee has been recovered. The Advocates are being paid as per the direction of the Administrator".

The Executive Committee inquired from the Secretary whether any letter was written to Administrator and the Govt. of India in this regard as decided by the Committee.

Further Dr. P.M Jadhav asked the Secretary whether she will follow the decisions made by the Executive Committee as per Rules & Regulations of the Council or will follow the directions given by the Administrator flouting the resolution.

To this, the Secretary replied that all the decisions taken by the Executive Committee as per Rules & Regulations are placed on the file to the Administrator for execution of the same. However, if they are overruled by the Administrator, in that case the Secretary had reported and will continue to report to the Executive Committee about the same. The attention of the Secretary was drawn to Section 55(2) of the Indian Medical Council Act, 1956.

The Administrator submitted that all the payments incurred on legal expenditure will continue to be made as per the directions of the Hon'ble Delhi High Court judgement dated 23rd November 2001.

The Executive Committee did not agree with the above interpretation of the Administrator.

On page 4 para 3 which reads as under (action taken report of 22.05.2002):-

"The Executive Committee appointed a Committee comprising of following members to make a panel of Advocates and the panel so drawn out be placed before the next meeting of the Executive Committee:-

- 1. Dr. Ved Prakash Mishra
- 2. Dr. Kesavankutty Nair
- 3. Dr. D.K. Sharma"

Under the action taken report it was recorded that letter sent to members to convene the meeting of the Committee for making the panel of Inspectors. There is a typographical error. The word 'inspector' as corrected be read 'advocates'. The Secretary further informed that the meeting of the Committee will be held on 12.08.2002 in the Council office.

On page 4 under the para "the Executive Committee took a serious objection for not withdrawing the letter dated 20.1.2002 addressed to the members of the inspection team inspite of repeated directions. It was directed that the letter of withdrawal be sent immediately". Under the action taken report it was recorded that the members are now being appointed as Inspectors by the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee desired to know whether the letter had been withdrawn or not? It was informed that almost all the inspections had been conducted by the inspectors appointed by the Administrator and the reports to that effect have been submitted. In this view of the matter the withdrawal letter was not sent to the members who were appointed for the same inspection.

The Administrator informed the members that during his presence before the Assurance Committee of Parliament where the Secretary (Health) and Secretary, MCI were present a point with respect to detailing the members of the Dental Council of India was brought out. The Executive Committee members felt that this point was totally irrelevant to the present situation.

Item No. 13 Letter of Prof. A. Rajasekaran, Member, MCI regarding medical admission etc.

Under this item the Executive Committee considered the letter dated 20th April,2002 received from Prof. A. Rajasekaran and noted with great concern the allegations made therein. The Committee resolved that the allegations made by Prof. A. Rajasekaran are baseless and vague and further that he may be requested to substantiate his statements along with sufficient proofs. If he is unable to provide sufficient proofs he would have to withdraw his letter with regret.

In the action taken report it was recorded that "letter sent to Prof. Rajasekaran on 25.06.2002". The members of the Executive Committee were informed that reply has been received from Prof. Rajasekaran vide his letter dated 23.7.2002. The letter was read out. The Executive Committee deliberated on the letter dated 23.07.2002 of Prof. A. Rajasekaran written in response to MCI letter dated 25.06.2002. The Committee noted with regret that the allegations are being repeatedly made by the Hon'ble member against the Executive Committee and officers of the Council against issues which do not pertain to MCI. The Committee again emphasised that the admissions into a medical college are not in the purview of MCI as they are required to be monitored by the State Govt./concerned organisation i.e. UGC in the present case.

The matter regarding admission at Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar should have been taken up with the university concerned. In view of above, the Executive Committee once again decided to write a letter to Prof. A. Rajasekaran to withdraw his allegations in the absence of any material substantiating his claim failing which the Executive Committee will be forced to take necessary action as deemed fit under the law.

Action taken on the minutes of EC meeting held on 24.06.2002

The Executive Committee also noted the action taken by the office on the various items included in the minutes of EC meeting held on 24.06.2002 with observations under item No. 24 regarding Appointment of Advisor by the Administrator.

In the action taken report it was recorded that "Bio-data of Dr. Amitabh Varma was circulated to the members on 28.6.2002". However, no action has been reported regarding restoring the space earmarked for the office of the Vice-President to him.

The Committee then desired to know what action has been taken by the office on the same to which it was replied that the office space has not been restored back to the Vice-President as directed. The Committee noted that the space provided for the office of the Vice-President has not been vacated inspite of sufficient time given after the meeting held on 24.06.2002. The Committee took a serious view in this matter and did not want to proceed further till the room to the Vice-President is restored back by making alternate arrangement for the place for Advisor. The office space was then restored back to the Vice-President along with his name plate.

The members also read out the bio-data of the Advisor and stated that Dr. Amitabh Varma has made no national contribution in medical education. He has neither taught at the undergraduate level or at the postgraduate level and has not been even a Professor before being appointed as a Dean at Santosh Medical College, Ghaziabad.

Dr. Amitabh Varma's appointment letter was read out where it was mentioned that he was appointed as a Dean on a salary of Rs.75,000/- to begin with. He will be entitled to increase the salary on his own to Rs.1 lakh to Rs.1.5 lakh per month as the hospital work picks up. The remuneration

would be in the form of consultancy charges. In the appointment letter it was also mentioned that Dr. Varma was entitled for one admission in the MBBS course every year under the management quota which would be enhanced to later to two admissions per year. The appointment letter also said that Dr. Varma was entitled self drawing by signing cheques of the remuneration and other expenses himself. He will also be having final say in selecting, appointing and removing any of the staff be it medical or para medical. He would be allowed to do private practice and no hospital deduction would be done from his collection.

He would be entitled for travel by all modes of transport inside the country as well as outside the country, one companion would be allowed with expenses of travelling and accommodation any where in the world. He would be provided with a new car along with 24 hrs. driver and fuel and further entitled to free line phone and mobile phone connection. The members pointed out that he was then removed from the college and the man who had been removed from the institution had been appointed in the MCI as the Advisor.

3. <u>Establishment of new medical college at Ahmednagar Maharashtra by Padmashree Dr. Vithalrao Patil Foundation, Ahmednagar u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956.</u>

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (2nd & 3rd July, 2002) for establishment of new medical college at Ahmednagar Maharashtra by Padmashree Dr. Vithalrao Patil Foundation, Ahmednagar u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956.

The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (2nd & 3rd July,2002) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (30th Nov.,2001) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to issue Letter of Intent for establishment of new medical college at Ahmednagar Maharashtra by Padmashree Dr. Vithalrao Patil Foundation, Ahmednagar u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956 with an annual intake of 100 (one hundred) students for the academic session 2002-2003.

4. K.S. Hegde Medical Academy at Mangalore by NIITE Educational Trust, Mangalore – renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (18th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students at K.S. Hegde Medical Academy at Mangalore.

The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (18th July,2002) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (17th & 18th January,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 4th batch of 100 students at K.S.Hegde Medical Academy at Mangalore for the academic session 2002-03.

5. M.V.J. Medical College & Research Hospital at Bangalore by Venkatesha Education Society – Renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students.

Read: The Council Inspectors report (8^{th} & 9^{th} July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 2^{nd} batch of students at MVJ Medical College & Research Hospital at Bangalore.

The Executive Committee noted that -

- 1. Application for establishment of M.V.J. Medical College by Shri Venkatesha Education Society, Bangalore along with certified copy of the High Court of Karnataka was received through the Central Govt. vide its letter dt.2nd June, 1997.
- 2. The Hon'ble High Court of Karnataka in its order had directed the Ministry of Health & F.W. to carryout necessary inspection of the proposed medical college by the MCI and submit a report to the Hon'ble Court within 4 weeks from the date of receipt of Court Order.
- 3. Accordingly inspection of the college was conducted by the Council in June, 1997.
- 4. A copy of the inspection report along with the summary on the report and the recommendations of the Council "not to recommend LOI" were sent to the Central Govt. vide MCI letter dt.20/6/1997 requesting them to submit the same before the Hon'ble Karnataka High Court within the stipulated period.
- 5. As per recommendations of the Council the Central Govt. vide its letter dt.25th March, 1998, disapproved the scheme for establishment of the college as the scheme was deficient in terms of proof of owning and possessing 25 acres of land, proof of owning and managing 300 bedded hospital, essentiality certificate from the State Govt., and consent of affiliation from the concerned University which was valid upto 1992, (there was no revalidation letter).
- 6. Further the Central Govt. as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court dated 25/3/1998 had issued conditional LOI for establishment of the medical college by Venkatesha Educational Society with annual intake of 100 students with prospective effect. This letter of intent was issued without prejudice to the right of Central Govt. to go for an appeal against the order dated 21/5/1998 of the Hon'ble Court in W.P. No.14896/98.
- 7. While issuing the LOI the applicant was informed that action to issue the grant of permission will be taken on receipt of a letter fulfilling the terms and conditions stipulated in the LOI. Three months time was given for fulfillment of the conditions.
- 8. Since the college authorities were not able to provide the documents as required to fulfill the conditions for establishment of new medical college as stated above as per MCI regulations, the Central Govt. vide its letter

- dt.24th March, 1999 had disapproved the scheme. While disapproving the proposal the LOI was also withdrawn.
- 9. Subsequently the Society moved Karnataka High Court and the Hon'ble Court in its order quashed the letter of the Central Govt. disapproving the scheme and further directed the Central Govt. to issue Letter of Permission to the petitioner. However, on filing of an appeal against the High Court's order by MCI, the Division Bench of Karnataka High Court in its order dated 9/8/99 stayed the order of Single Judge Bench. As per the order dated 9/8/99 the applicant had to cure the defects and after curing the defects they were to apply to the Medical Council of India for a further inspection.
- 10. Fresh application along with the following documents was received through the Central Govt. vide its letter dt.11th Oct., 2000 for evaluation and sending the recommendations of the Council to the Ministry:-
 - (i) Essentiality Certificate issued by the Govt. of Karnataka.
 - (ii) Consent of affiliation from Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences.
 - (iii) Registered Perpetual Lease Agreement regarding 26.24acres of land.
 - (iv) Proof of ownership of 300 bedded hospital.
- 11. The application was returned to the Ministry vide MCI letter dt. 25/10/2000 as the proposal was again deficient in terms of the following conditions:-
 - (i) The Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences vide its letter dt.25/10/2000 had not agreed to grant affiliation for establishment of the college for the year 2000-2001.
 - (ii) The 300 bedded hospital as required under the qualifying criteria was not owned by the applicant, only the permission letter from HAL and ITI hospital at Bangalore for providing clinical training facilities on perpetual period to the medical students of the proposed MVJ Medical College on the terms and conditions mutually agreed upon were enclosed.
 - (iii) It was not clear whether the land for the proposed medical college was in a single piece or not. It was also not clear whether the land had been obtained on a long lease as per the requirements.
 - (iv) Under the time bound programme details of construction activity of the college hospital and other infrastructure facilities had not been given.

Further, the Registrar, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences vide its order dated 15/12/2000 had issued consent letter for affiliation of the college under the University with an annual intake of 100 seats for the year 2000-2001 subject to grant of permission by the Govt. of India, Ministry of Health & F.W. u/s 10A of the IMC Act, 1956.

- 12. On receipt of representation of the college through the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 17/9/2001, inspection of the college was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 15th & 16th Oct., 2001 and the inspection report was considered by the Sub-Committee constituted out of the members of the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 30/10/2001.
- 13. The Sub-Committee in view of the various deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report decided to recommend to the Central Govt. not to issue LOI for establishment of MVJ medical College at Bangalore by Venkatesha Education Society.
- 14. The decision of the Sub-Committee was communicated to the Central Govt. on 30/10/2001.
- 15. However, the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 30/10/2001 had issued LOI and LOP for establishment of the college with admission of 100 students with prospective effect i.e. from the academic session 2001-2002 for a period of one year.
- 16. Since the letter issued by the Central Govt. granting LOI/LOP were contrary to the recommendations of the Council, a communication was sent to the Central Govt. on 14/2/2002 requesting to consider withdrawing the same. In the same letter it was also requested to clarify the statutory responsibilities of the Council with regard to recommending LOI/LOP for establishment of the college.
- 17. No reply had been received from the Central Govt. to the above communication. It was also noted that no compliance had been sent by the authorities as directed by the Ministry vide its letter dated 30.10.2001 while issuing the LOI and LOP.
- 18. The Council Advocate appearing on behalf of the Council in Writ Appeal No.5451-5452/1999-MCI Vs. Venkatesha Education Society, M.V.J.Medical College, Bangalore had informed vide letter dated 03.07.2002 that the Court was apprised regarding the non submission of the compliance by the authorities of the college to the Central Govt. however, the Court did not take note of this and in spite of this fact, directed that the inspection should be carried out otherwise, the Court will make observations and pass an order for inspection.
- 19. In light of the above directions of the Court inspection for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students at the college has been carried out on 8th & 9th July, 2002.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (8th & 9th July,2002) carried out for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students and noted the following:-

1. Affiliation of the University:

Letter of affiliation of the college with the Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore for the year 2002-03 has not yet been issued by

the University. However the local inquiry committee of the Rajiv Gandhi University which inspected the college on 26th June, 2002 has recommended that affiliation could be continued.

2. Land:

The land is in three pieces as already reported in the inspection report carried out in Oct.,2001 which is not acceptable as per the Qualifying Criteria.

3. Buildings:

The basic departments of Anatomy, Physiology, Biochemistry, Central Library, Office for Administrative staff, two Lecture theatres, hostel for Boys & Girls, Animal House, para-clinical departments are still located in the makeshift arrangement in their engineering college campus as already reported in the previous inspection report carried out in Oct.,2001.

4. Time-Bound Programme:

The time bound programme has been submitted by the college vide their letter dated 1.7.2002 addressed to Secretary, Ministry of Health and Family Welfare, Government of India and received in the Medical Council office on 4.7.2002. The Time Bound programme has been given for the entire college and hospital buildings in a general way and to be completed by October, 2004. No separate programme has been given for completion of basic, para clinical, clinical and other infrastructure buildings like hostels, residential quarters for various categories, auditorium, central library etc.

5. Ownership of the Hospital:

The institution does not own any functional hospital as already reported in the inspection report Oct.,2001. Institution has attached the following hospitals for its teaching purposes

- 1. Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd. Hospital 224 Bedded Hospital, 12 kms away from the present college building. The distance between HAL hospital and the proposed permanent medical college site is 15 kms.
- 2. Indian Telephone Industries Hospital 211 Bedded Hospital, 9 kms away from the present college building. The distance between ITI hospital and the proposed permanent medical college site is 10 kms.

The distance between these two hospitals is 8 kms.

The management of both the hospitals has given the permission to the Society to utilize the clinical material as well as infrastructure facilities for the teaching purposes. It has also been agreed that the teaching staff appointed by medical college in addition to training the medical students of the medical college, involve themselves fully and completely with the entire

gamut of clinical work of the hospital and the speciality to which they belong, viz. casualty services, conduct of outpatient clinics, care of the inpatients inclusive of operative work, discharge of patients and their follow up and all diagnostic work in the labs, radiology, ultrasonography, etc.

These hospitals were being managed by non-teaching consultants and general duty Medical Officers in almost in all the specialities. Some of these consultants are degree holders and some are diploma holders and some with MBBS degrees only.

From May/June, 2002 the college authorities have appointed clinical staff to look after some of the beds in both these hospitals. These beds which are allocated to the teaching staff are also looked after by the non-teaching staff of these hospitals. The overall control of these hospitals is with the respective medical superintendents of the hospitals who are non-teaching consultants.

The teaching staff posted to these hospitals operate once/twice a week depending on the specialty. However very little operative work has been done by the teaching staff since their appointment from June, 2002. The teaching staff also work in the out patient departments separately in both the hospitals. On the operation days, one/two staff members try to work in the OPD also.

Thus the whole arrangement of clinical work is a mixed affair i.e. by teachers as well as non-teachers on the same beds.

The above arrangement of attachment of these two hospitals located in different locations and away from the Medical College is not as per MCI Regulations as well as it involves division of beds, staff in these two hospitals and students will have to spend considerable time to commute between hospitals and College.

Appointment of Non-teaching Staff of HAL Hospital as Teachers:

The Medical College authorities have appointed some of the non-teaching consultants of HAL hospital by paying an honorarium of Rs. 2000-4000 p/m depending upon their designation (i.e. lecturer/Asst. Professor Rs. 3000-4000 p/m, Senior Residents/Junior Residents Rs. 2000 p/m). under this scheme 12 lecturers/asst. professors, 12 senior residents and 2 junior residents have been given appointments. These appointments have not been approved by the affiliating University and the inspection team has not considered them because these are not the employees of the Medical College and administratively these are not under the control of Medical College authorities.

The college authorities have not added any equipments/any other facilities in these two hospitals.

6. Clinical Material:

H.A.L. HOSPITAL I.T.I. HOSPITAL

 Daily OPD Attendance
 595 (475+120)450 (300+150)1045

 Bed Occupancy
 57-73%
 30-40%

 Deliveries
 about 50 p/m
 about 15 p/m

7. <u>Teaching Facilities & Staff Rooms:</u>

Teaching space has been made available in OPD's and wards in both the hospitals, though the space and the location of the rooms is not as per MCI norms because of the already constructed rooms in these two old hospitals. These also need to be furnished by chairs and tables and proper blackboards. Departmental offices and staff rooms are not available by and large. These need to be provided adequately as per MCI norms. There is no clerical assistance to any of the clinical departments. There are no departmental libraries in clinical departments. The audiometry room in ENT department is not air conditioned.

8. <u>Shortage of Teaching Staff for 100 annual admissions for second batch admission as per new regulations:</u>

```
2
Professor
                      (Forensic med. -1, Radio-diagnosis -1)
                      (Forensic med. -1)
Reader
                 1
               4
                    (Pharm. – 1, Com. Med. – 2, T.B & Chest – 1
Lecturers
Senior Residents/ - 6 (Anatomy -1, Gen. Surg. -2, Medicine -2,
                            Radiodiagnosis – 1
Demonstrators
Total
                 13
Junior Residents
                  8 (Paed. - 1, T.B. \& Chest - 1, Psychiatry - 1,
                           Ortho. -1, Eye -2, ENT -2)
Grand Total
                  21
```

Note:

- 1. The staff deficiency is more than 5%.
- 2. Almost all the staff of clinical departments joined on 1.6.2002.
- 3. Non-teaching medical staff/consultants of HAL hospital who are appointed as lecturers, Senior Residents and Junior Residents by paying an honorarium by the college authorities have not been considered.
- 9. The following deficiencies are also persisting:
 - 1. Lecture theatres are of level type instead of gallery type.
 - 2. The roof of the animal house needs false ceiling.
 - 3. Statistical Unit has not yet been established.
 - 4. Space of Urban Health Centre is inadequate.
 - 5. There are no hostel facilities/quarters for senior/junior residents, nursing staff and non teaching staff at present.

- 6. The nursing staff in both the hospitals is inadequate. This need to be as per Nursing Council norms.
- 7. Body cooling cabinet is not available in the Deptt. Of Anatomy, however the orders for the same have been placed.
- 8. The space of the department of Community Medicine is inadequate.
- 10. The facilities in the department of Anatomy, Physiology, Biochemistry, Pharmacology, Pathology, Microbiology & Forensic Medicine are adequate.

11. Other observations/deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report.

In view of the above narrated facts, the Executive Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. not to renew the permission for admission of 2nd batch of students at M.V.J. Medical College, Bangalore for the academic session 2002-2003 and also to consider withdrawing the Letter of Permission granted for 100 admissions to this college which was contrary to the recommendations of the Council.

6. <u>Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore – Renewal of permission</u> for admission of 4th batch of students.

Read: The compliance verification inspection report (19th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students at Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore.

The Executive Committee considered the compliance verification inspection report (19th July,2002) carried out on receipt of the compliance on rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report (18th & 19th April,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 4th batch of 100 students at Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore for the academic session 2002-2003.

7. <u>Mahatma Gandhi National Instt. Of Medical Sciences, Jaipur – Renewal of Permission for admission of 2nd batch of students.</u>

Read: The inspection report (9th & 10th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students at Mahatma Gandhi National Instt. of Medical Sciences, Jaipur.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (9th & 10th July,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 2nd batch of 100 students at Mahatma Gandhi National Instt. of Medical Sciences, Jaipur for the academic session 2002-03.

8. <u>Govt. Medical College, Toothukudi – Renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students.</u>

Read: The inspection report (25th & 26th June, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students at Govt. Medical College, Toothukudi.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (25th & 26th June,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 3rd batch of 100 students at Govt. Medical College, Toothkudi for the academic session 2002-03.

9. <u>Karnataka Instt. Of Medical Sciences, Hubli – Renewal of permisison for admission of 2nd batch against the increased intake i.e. 50 to 100.</u>

Read: The inspection report $(28^{th} \& 29^{th} June, 2002)$ for renewal of permission for admission of 2^{nd} batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 50 to 100 at Karnataka Instt. of Medical Sciences, Hubli.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (28th & 29th June,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 2nd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 50 to 100 at Karnataka Instt. of Medical Sciences, Hubli for the academic session 2002-03.

10. K.J. Somaiya Medical College & Research Centre, Mumbai – Renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 50 to 100.

Read: The inspection report (16th & 17th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 50 to 100 at K.J. Somaiya Medical College & Research Centre, Mumbai.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (16^{th} & 17^{th} July,2002) and noted the following:-

1. <u>Shortage of teaching staff:</u>

```
Professor – 3: (Microbiology-1, Orthopaedics-1, ENT-1)
Associate Professor – 3: (Forensic Medicine-1, PSM-1, Surgery-1)
Assistant Professor – 1: (Forensic Medicine-1)
Tutor/Sr.Resident – 8: (Biochemistry-1, Microbiology-1, Obst. & Gynae.-2, Anaesthesia – 3, Radiology-1)
Jr. Resident – 6 (Medicine-2, Dermatology-1, Psychiatry-1, Surg.-2)
Total – 21.
```

2. Clinical material is not adequate. Average daily attendance is 475. Average daily bed occupancy is 64% against the requirement of 80%.

3. General Deficiencies:

- (a) The college does not have auditorium in the campus. It is utilizing the auditorium at Vidya Vihar which is an educational complex run by the management.
- (b) Central clinical laboratory does not have facilities for Microbiology investigations.
- (c) In the Animal House space has not been provided as per MCI requirements. Facilities for experimental work are also not available.
- (d) Sitting capacity in the library is not adequate. Number of Indian and Foreign journals as subscribed are also not adequate.
- (e) College does not have its own Rural Health Training Centre (RHTC) and PSM department should take part in the activity of the Health Centres.
- (f) There is no hostel facilities available in the college campus for the students. They have 8 rooms at Vidyavihar campus which is about 5 kms from the college and hospital. The total number of seats available are about 32 for boys only. At Sion there is another hostel available for 8 boys but there is no mess facility available in the latter campus. No hostel facilities are available for girl students.
- (g) Only one residential quarter is available for the Director of the Hospital. However, as per regulations residential quarters should be provided to teaching and non-teaching staff.
- (h) Quarters for Residents accommodation have not been provided.
- (i) There is no Hostel for interns.
- (j) In the Medical Record Section index system is not being followed.
- (k) OPD facilities are required to be reorganised as per Council guidelines.
- (l) Central casualty services needs improvement as per MCI recommendations.
- (m) Central Sterilisation Supply Department (CSSD) should be established.
- (n) Laundry is not available.
- (o) Dietician should be appointed for the hospital kitchen.

4. <u>Departmentwise deficiencies:</u>

Anatomy department

- mortuary cooler needs immediate repairing.
- Separate departmental library should be established.

Physiology department:

- separate amphibian and mammalian labs are to be provided as per Council requirement.
- Research lab needs improvement
- Haematology and Clinical Physiology should have 60 work places
- Space provided to the various sections of the department is not as per Council guidelines

Biochemistry Department:

- Shortage of one Tutor.
- The HOD is non-medical.
- Non-medical teachers are more than 50%.
- Separate departmental library should be established.

<u>Pharmacology Department:</u>

- Experimental pharmacology lab should have 60 work places
- Separate departmental library should be established.
- Museum should be provided enough space.

Pathology Department:

- One additional practical lab should be provided for students.
- Separate departmental library should be established.
- Service lab should be established properly in the department.

Microbiology Department:

- Adequate space should be provided for museum.
- Research lab should be provided.
- Separate departmental library should be established.

Forensic Medicine Department:

- There is no autopsy block.
- There is no research lab.
- 5. Other observations/deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report.

In view of above, the Executive Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. not to renew the permission for admission of 4th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. 50 to 100 at K.J.Somaiya Medical College, Mumbai for the academic session 2002-03.

11. Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Instt. of Medical Sciences, Rohtak - Renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 115 to 150.

Read: The inspection report (15th & 16th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 115 to 150 Pt. B.D. Sharma Postgraduate Instt. of Medical Sciecnes, Rohtak.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (15th & 16th July,2002) along with a letter received from Dr. Ravi Kant, Professor of Surgery, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi who was one of the coinspector for this inspection. The Committee noted the following two observations made by Dr. Ravi Kant in addition to the main report submitted by all the three inspectors:-

- 1. Creation of Neonatology Unit
- 2. Promotion of Dr. D.R. Yadav, Professor of Forensic Medicine within 2 years of passing the postgraduation.

The Executive Committee decided that observation with regard to creation of Neonatology unit was not at all relevant to the undergraduate inspection.

Regarding the promotion of Dr. D.R. Yadav, Professor of Forensic Medicine, a letter dated 13.09.1982 issued by the then Secretary, MCI Dr. P.S. Jain which was available with other papers of the inspection was perused by the members of the Committee and it was noted that Dr. D.R. Yadav was considered for promotion/appointment by the concerned authorities keeping in view the letter dated 13.09.1982 of the Council.

Taking the above into consideration and the inspection report (15th & 16th July,2002), the Executive Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 115 to 150 at Pt. B.D.Sharma Postgraduate Instt. of Medical Sciences, Rohtak for the academic session 2002-03.

The Committee further decided that 28 students of erstwhile MAIMER, Agroha as ordered by the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 23.11.2000 be adjusted within the sanctioned intake of 150 students for the academic session 2002-03.

12. B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad - renewal of permission for admission of 8th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. 210 to 250 for the academic year 2002-2003.

Read: The inspection report (22nd & 23rd July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 8th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. from 210 to 250 at B.J. Medical College, Ahmedabad.

The Executive Committee considered the inspection report (22nd & 23rd July,2002) and decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of students against the increased intake i.e. from 210 to 250 at B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad for the academic session 2002-03 being the 8th renewal.

The attention of the authorities be drawn towards rectification of the deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report. It was also decided that the authorities be requested to take immediate steps for recognition of enhanced number of seats u/s 11(2).

13. <u>Recognition of Medwin Hospital, Hyderabad for purposes of Compulsory Rotating internship Training.</u>

Read: The Council Inspectors report (15th Feb., 2002) for recognition of Medwin Hospital, Hyderabad for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training.

The Executive Committee considered the Council Inspectors report (15th Feb.,2002 and decided to recognise Medwin Hospital, Hyderabad for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training in the following subjects:-

General Medicine General Surgery Obst. & Gynae. Orthopaedics Paediatrics Ophthalmology ENT

14. <u>Writ petition No.8/2001 – Asheesh Pratap Singh & Others Vs. Union of India& Others.</u>

Read: The matter with regard adjustment of students of Azamgarh in the medical colleges of the State of U.P.

The Executive Committee noted that the matter was considered by the General Body of the Council at its meeting held on 3rd & 4th June,2002 where - "the Council desired to know as to whether the students are to be accommodated to various colleges in the State of U.P. against the increased seats with fulfillment of necessary procedure u/s 10A of the I.M.C. Act,1956 and in terms of an earlier precedence where the matter with regard to

transfer of 150 students from Maharaja Agrasen Instt. of Medical Education & Research, Agroha to Pt.B.D.Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak was considered.

The Council further decided that it shall be advisable for the Administrator to seek legal opinion in this regard from the suitable law officer from the Govt. of India forthwith and authorized him to act in accordance with the same ensuring that the time limit prescribed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in terms of its order of 3 months is not breached in any way.

The Council further directed that in view of the various lapses that were observed to have occurred at the level of the Council Office, in this regard, the Administrator may look into the same and bring out his observations before the Executive Committee at its ensuing meeting."

The Executive Committee perused the status note in this regard along with the opinion of Ld. Solicitor General of India as obtained and noted that the case has been defended by the office taking due care with regard to all the rules and regulations of the Council. The Committee also perused the letter dated 17.07.2002 sent by the office to the Director, Medical Education, Govt. of U.P. enclosing therewith a legal opinion to take appropriate steps for compliance/implementation.

The Committee also noted the salient features of the legal opinion as under:-

- 1. As per the Hon'ble Supreme Court order dated 11.03.2002 it is clear that it is a direction pertaining to the exercise of power to transfer students and not to increasing the strength of the institutions in which students are to be transferred.
- 2. It would be incumbent upon the Medical Council of India to permit these students being transferred/admitted to the different Medical Colleges as long as they are otherwise entitled to be so admitted, and the institutions to which they are so transferred are entitled to admit them.
- 3. It is for the U.P. Govt. to admit these students in the existing recognised colleges in such manner as it considers appropriate and distribute them to the various colleges in such manner as it considers appropriate as long as it is fair, reasonable and transparent.
- 4. The students cannot pick and choose the college to which they are allocated, nor can the institutions to which they are to be transferred claim a pro tanto increase in their sanctioned capacity merely on account of transfer of these students.
- 5. There is no obligation upon the Querist to permit adhoc increase in any capacity of an institution for enabling such institution to admit these students.

6. The Ld. Solicitor General of India in his opinion has also stated that the Hon'ble Supreme Court has repeatedly frowned upon the orders made by the High Court for increasing the capacity of the college since this directly imperils the standards of education. There is no express direction in the order in question for increase in the capacity of any college. The order dated 11.03.2002 cannot be construed as a charter to enable colleges to increase adhoc essential intake capacity.

The Committee approved the action taken by the office sending a letter dated 17.07.2002 to the Director General, Medical Education, Govt. of U.P. enclosing therewith a copy of the legal opinion stating therein that it is for the State of U.P. to take an appropriate step for compliance/implementation of the judgement of the Hon'ble Supreme Court and to consider granting the admission to the petitioners before the Hon'ble Supreme Court, in the MBBS course in the recognised Govt. Medical Colleges for the academic session 2002-03 within the annual intake capacity fixed for these colleges.

15. Regularisation/approval of migration of students allowed by Maharshi Dayanand University Rohtak in April, 1998.

Read: The letter dated 4/6/2002 received from the Dean, PT. B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak requesting to regularize the migration of Mr. Amit Jawa in place of Ms. Suman Malik in response to the decision of the Executive Committee dated 24/4/2002 conveyed regarding the subject noted above.

The Executive Committee noted that the Committee at its meeting held on 24.4.2002 considered the matter with regard to regularisation/approval of migration of following students allowed by Maharashi Dyanand University, Rohtak in Apri,1998 and decided to permit these migrations as a one time measure and not to be quoted as a precedence as the candidates have already completed and passed their MBBS course:-

- 1. Suman Malik from Medical College, Baroda
- 2. Chakitan Singh from K.M.C., Mangalore
- 3. Sanjay Garg from K.M.C., Mangalore
- 4. Sundeep from A.C.P.M. Medical College, Dhule
- 5. Mohit Singhal from Silchar Medical College, Silchar
- 6. Ramandeep Virk from Dr. V.M.Medical College, Solapur

The Executive Committee further considered the letter dated 04.06.2002 received from the Dean, Pt.B.D.Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak intimating that Ms. Suman Malik of Medical College, Baroda (mentioned in S.No.1) did not join classes at Pt. B.D.Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak after her migration allowed by the M.D.University, Rohtak in April,1998. In her place Mr. Amit Jawa S/o Dr. G.C. Jawa of Bharati Vidyapeeth, Pune (Plaintiff No. 5 in the Civil Suit No.228 of 1999/2001) was allowed migration in 2nd Prof. MBBS class by the M.D.University, Rohtak as a 6th candidate who has already completed his MBBS study at the institution and vide letter dated 07.06.2002 requested to regularise the migration of Mr.

Amit Jawa from Bharati Vidyapeeth, Pune to Pt.B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak in place of Ms. Suman Malik.

The Committee did not agree to consider the migration of Mr. Amit Jawa from Bharati Vidyapeeth, Pune to Pt.B.D. Sharma PGIMS, Rohtak in place of Ms. Suman Malik in view of the fact that no communication regarding this change or any other details were ever referred to the Council for its consideration.

16. Recommendations of the High Powered Committee (HPC) on Disaster Management - follow up action thereon.

Read: The recommendations of the High Powered Committee on Disaster Management.

The Executive Committee noted the recommendations of the High Powered Committee on Disaster Management received from the Department of Agriculture and Co-operation, Ministry of Agriculture, Govt. of India, New Delhi.

17. Report of the Study Group on the system of Health Sciences Universities vis – a –vis General Universities.

Read: The report of the study group on the system of Health Sciences Universities vis-à-vis General Universities.

The Executive Committee considered the report of the Study Group constituted to undertake a scientific study on the benefits of the system of Health Sciences Universities vis-à-vis General Universities on the System of Medical Education and Research and decided to organise a national level workshop for the same.

18. Appointment of non-medical Professor for the post of Dean at Govt. Institutions – Request for amendment in the Regulations of the Council on Minimum Qualifications for Teachers in Medical Institutions, 1998 – Reg.

Read: The letter received from the Director of Medical Education & Research, Mumbai with regard to appointment of Dean at Govt. Medical Colleges and requested for amendments.

The Executive Committee considered the letter dated 18.06.2002 received from the Director, Medical Education & Research, Mumbai and did not agree for appointment of non-medical Professors to the post of Dean.

19. <u>Appointment of Inspectors – Postgraduate Medical Courses.</u>

The Executive Committee appointed following inspectors for carrying out the inspection of various postgraduate courses:-

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Name of Inspector
Kempegowda Institute of Medical Sciences, Bangalore	M.D.(Microbiology)	Dr. Jayalaxmi, Chennai
Rangaraya Medical College, Kakinada	M.D.(Psychiatry)	Dr. K.S. Pillai, Medical College Trivandrum
Guntur Medical College, Guntur	M.D.(Psychiatry)	Dr. R.Sathianathen, Chennai
Kakatiya Medical College, Warangal	M.D.(Psychiatry)	Dr. K.S. Pillai, Medical College Trivandrum
Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad	M.Ch.(Urology/Genito Urinary Surgery)	Dr. A.K. Hemal, AIIMS, New Delhi
	Verification of Compliance	
Medical College, Kottayam.	M.Ch.(Cardio-Thoracic Surgery)	Dr. K.R. Shetty, Mumbai
	Verification of Compliance	
Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur.	MS(Anatomy)	Dr. Sant, B.J.Medical College, Pune
	D.M.R.D.	Dr. Khadse, Prof. & Head, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai
Rangaraya Medical College,	MD(Physiology)	Dr. Solepure, Aurangabad
Kakinada	D.V.	Dr. Mary Jacob, Vellore
	DLO	Dr. Arun Kumar Bhattacharya, Retd. Principal, Silchar Medical College
	DCP	Dr. Narsingham, Pondicherry
	DPH	Dr. Satyamurthi, Chennai
	MD(Anaesthesia) & DA	Dr. Bharat Shah, B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad
	Verification of compliance	
Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad	MD(General Medicine)	Dr. Asha Shaha, B.J.Medical College, Ahmedabad
Nizam Instt. of Medical Sciences, Hyderabad.	DM(Nephrology)	Dr. M.S. Amaresen, Chennai, Retd. Prof. & Head, Madras Medical College, Chennai
J.S.S.Medical College, Mysore	M.D.(Anaesthesia)	Dr. Ravi Saxena, AIIMS, New Delhi
	DA	-do-
	M.S.(General Surgery)	Dr. Sharad Vyas, Ahmedabad
	M.D.(Paediatrics)	Dr. Veena Kalra, AIIMS, New Delhi
	DCH	-do-
	M.D.(General Medicine)	Dr. S.K. Rajan, Chennai
	M.S.(Orthopaedics)	Dr. N. Mylvaganan, Madras Medical College, Chennai
	D.Ortho.	-do-

S.M.S.Medical College, Jaipur	D.M.(Neurology)	Dr. Kameswar Prasad, AIIMS, New Delhi
	D.M.(Gastroenterology)	Dr. Joshi, AIIMS, New Delhi
	D.M.(Cardiology)	Dr. Lekha Pathak, Mumbai
	D.M.(Nephrology)	Dr. S.K. Sharma, SGPGI,
		Lucknow
Dr.B.R.Ambedkar Medical College, Bangalore.	M.S.(E.N.T)	Dr. R.C. Deka. AIIMS, New Delhi
College, Ballgalore.	M.S.(Ophthalmology)	Dr. K. Anand Kannan, Chennai
	D.C.P.	Dr. Kalpana Sulhyan, Miraj
	D.C.I	Maharashtra
S.N.Medical College,	M.S.(Anatomy)	Dr. G.U. Shah, Ahmedabad,
Jodhpur.	M.D.(Physiology)	Dr. M.V. Dixit, B.J.Medical
		College, Ahmedabad
	M.D.(Biochemistry)	Dr. (Mrs.) Agarwal, Lady
		Hardinge Medical College,
		New Delhi
	M.D.(Pharmacology)	Dr. R.K. Dixit, B.J.Medical
		College, Ahmedabad

The Executive Committee also appointed following inspectors in place of earlier appointed inspectors who had conveyed their inability to carry out the inspections:-

Institution	Subject	Name of earlier appointed Inspector	Name of Inspector
Gandhi Medical College, Hyderabad.	M.D.(Dermatology)	Dr.Nitin S.Vora, Ahmedabad	Dr. Ranjan Bhavsar, Smt. NHL Municipal Medical College,
Hyderabad.	M.S.(Orthopaedics)	Dr. H.P. Bhalodiya,	Ahmedabad Dr. Pankaj Patel, Smt.
	ND OF THE	Ahmedabad	NHL Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad
T 136 1' 1	M.D.(Microbiology)	Dr. Sanjay Bijwe, Mumbai	Dr. Pratiba Dalal, Mumbai (Terna)
Kurnool Medical College, Kurnool.	MS(Anatomy)	Dr.S.Lakshmanan, Annamalainagar.	Dr. J.K. Tandon, Ex- Whole-time Inspector, MCI
	MS(General Surgery)	Dr.M.G.Muthuswamy, Chennai.	Dr. H. Mahanta, Silchar Medical College
Osmania Medical College, Hyderabad.	MS(Ophthalmology)	Dr.Rajvardhan Azad, New Delhi.	Dr. S.C. Shetty, Ex- Vice Chancellor, Rajiv Gandhi University of Health Sciences, Bangalore.
Govt. Medical College,	MD(Biochemistry)	Molly Dr.Jacob, Vellore	Dr. A.K. Bhutte, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai
Bhavnagar.	M.D.(Radio- diagnosis)	Dr. Mukund Joshi.	Dr. Ravi Rananaware, T.N. Medical College, Mumbai
Al-Ameen Medical College, Bijapur	M.D.(Pathology)	Dr.(Mrs.) Pratiba, Chennai.	Dr. Vasudev Haley, B.J. Medical College, Pune
Guntur Medical College, Guntur.	M.D.(Obst. & Gyane.)	Dr. Rajan, Kottayam.	Dr.(Mrs.) Dawar, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai
Govt. Medical College, Rajkot	M.D.(Forensic Med.)	Dr. B.N. Reddy, Goa	Dr. V.V. Vabe, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai

S.P. Medical	M.D.(Radio-	Dr. M.S. Gujral, Indore.	Dr. Krishna Kamble,
College, Bikaner.	therapy)		GMC, Nagpur
Guntur Medical College, Guntur.	MD(Gen. Medicine)	Dr.S.K.Rajan, Chennai	Dr. Yeolakar, L.T.M. Mumbai

20. <u>Appointment of Inspectors for conducting the Undergraduate</u> inspections.

The Executive Committee appointed following inspectors for carrying out the inspection of undergraduate course:-

1. Smt.N.H.L.Municipal Medical College, Ahmedabad - renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake i.e 100 to 150 (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. Sanjay Bijwe

Dr. S.C. Shetty, Bangalore

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

2. B.S.Medical College, Bankura - renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students against the increased intake i.e 50 to 100 (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. S.N. Goswami, Prof. of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, Gauhati Medical College, Gauhati

Dr. Prabhati Purkayastha, Professor & Head, ENT, Silchar Medical College, Silchar

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

3. S.V.Medical College, Tirupathi - renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students against the increased intake i.e 100 to 150 (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. J.K. Tandon, Ex-Whole-time Inspector, MCI

Dr. Jayshree Mehta, Baroda

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

4. Establishment of medical college at Tiruvalla – Inspection for grant of Letter of Permission:-

Dr. Kalpa Sulhan, Miraj

Dr. T. Madan, Hyderabad

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

5. Establishment of Christian Medical College at Dichpalli, Nizamabad - compliance verification inspection:-

Dr. K. Anand Kannan, Chennai

Dr. Solepure, Aurangabad

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

6. S.V.S.Medical College at Mahaboobnagar - - renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch of students (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. Malik, Govt. Medical College, Ahmedabad

Dr. Sanjay Bijwe

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

7. M.P.Shah Medical College, Jamnagar - compliance verification inspection for continuance of recognition of MBBS degree:-

Dr. Alka Deshpande, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai

Dr. Dawan, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

8. Govt. Medical college, Aurangabad - renewal of permission for admission of 5th batch of students against the increased intake i.e. 100 to 150:-

Inspection team consisting of 1.Dr.G.K.Sharma, 2. Dr.B.D.Mankad, 3. Dr.C.A.Desai was appointed by the Executive Committee to carry out the above inspection. The Dean, Govt. Medical College, Aurangabad has informed that Dr.C.A.Desai was contacted to carry out the inspection immediately however, he informed that he is busy till end of August 2002. The Dean has requested to appoint another inspectors to conduct the inspection immediately:-

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

21. Additional Name of Hospitals recognised by the Council for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training – information thereon.

Read: The additional name of hospitals recognised by the Council for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training.

The Executive Committee noted the additional name of Hospitals recognised by the Council for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training as under:-

Name of Hospital Recognised Also called by following

1. Distt.Hospital, Trivandrum

1. General Hospital, Thiruvananthapuram

2. Civil Hospital, Gurgaon

2. General Hospital, Gurgaon

22. <u>Dismissal of Sh. Vidya Bhushan Kaushik, Gestetner Operator – from the Service of the Council.</u>

Read : The appeal of Sh. Vidya Bhushan Kaushik as per "Rule 50" of Medical Council of India – "Standing Orders" to review the order of

imposing a major penalty of 'Dismissal from service' on charges proved against him.

The Executive Committee considered the appeal of Shri Vidya Bhushan Kaushik requesting to review the order as per rule 50 of Standing Orders of the Medical Council of India. The Executive Committee upon reconsideration noted that there is no substance in the appeal of Shri Vidya Bhushan which requires fresh consideration for reviewing the decision already arrived at and decided to reiterate its earlier decision of approving the penalty of "Dismissal from Service" imposed on him by the Disciplinary Authority which was duly approved by the General Body of the Council at its meeting held on 3rd & 4th June,2002 and already communicated to him.

23. To consider the matter with regard to permitting the students to appear in Medical Entrance Examination who have obtained Biotechnology in place of Biology.

Read: The letter dated 8th July, 2002 received from the Chairman, Central Board of Secondary Education with regard to permit the students to appear in Medical Entrance Examination who have obtained Biotechnology in place of Biology.

The Executive Committee considered the letter dated 8th July,2002 received from the Chairman, Central Board of Secondary Education with regard to permitting the students to appear in Medical Entrance Examination who have obtained Biotechnology in place of Biology. The Committee did not agree with the request of the Chairman, CBSE to allow the students obtaining Biotechnology as a subject in lieu of Biology to appear in the Medical Entrance Examination held by various Boards in the country both at State as well as National level.

24. MCI recommendations for New/Upcoming Medical Colleges.

Read: The letter dated 17/6/2002 received from Dr. P.G. Khandelwal, Prof. Of Medicine, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur giving suggestions in regard to categorisation of the medical colleges according to their location, appointment of teachers etc.

The Executive Committee considered the letter dated 17.06.2002 received from Dr. P.G. Khandelwal, Prof. of Medicine, S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur and did not agree with his suggestions with regard to categorisation of the medical colleges according to their location, appointment of teachers etc.

25. <u>Selection of Deputy Secretary (Medical) – Constitution of Selection Committee members.</u>

Read: The matter regarding nominating the members of Selection Committee for the post of Deputy Secretary (Medical).

The Executive Committee noted that interview for the post of Deputy Secretary (Medical) was fixed to be conducted on 16th July,2002, however, none of the members nominated by the Committee could come due to personal reasons. As the interview was earlier postponed on three occasions due to non-availability of Selection Committee members, the Administrator decided to conduct the interview.

The interview was held by the Administrator, the Secretary and the Joint Secretary where no suitable candidate was found to be eligible for the post of Deputy Secretary (Medical).

The Committee decided to readvertise the post and on receipt of the applications from the eligible candidates the matter be brought back to the Executive Committee for appointment of the members on the Selection Committee.

The Executive Committee further noted that interview for the post of Whole-time Inspectors were not held due to non-fixation of the date of interview by the Selection Committee. The Committee further decided to give wide publicity through circular to the medical colleges and making advertisement in the newspapers particularly the newspapers having circulation in South.

The applications of the eligible candidates which are already available with the Council along with the new applications thus received be all placed before the Selection Committee, date of which may be fixed after receiving more applications.

26. Selection of Law Officer – Approval of.

Read: The matter with regard to selection made by the Selection Committee for the post of Law Officer.

The Executive Committee noted that interview for the post of Law Officer was fixed to be held on 16th July,2002, however, none of the members nominated by the Committee could come on 16.07.2002. Since it was not possible to postpone the interview at such a short notice, the interview was conducted by the Administrator along with the Secretary and Joint Secretary and an Advocate from Hon'ble Supreme Court as an expert.

The Committee further noted that following two candidates were recommended by the Selection Committee in order of merit for the post of Law Officer:-

- 1. Shri Vipon Sanduja
- 2. Shri A.P. Sharma

The Committee decided that the bio-data of above two candidates may be submitted to the Committee at its next meeting to be held on 28.08.2002 for its consideration.

27. Govt. Medical College, Anantapur – Renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students.

Read: The Council Inspectors report (29th & 30th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students at Govt. Medical College, Anantapur.

The Executive Committee upon consideration of the Council Inspectors report (29th & 30th July,2002) noted the following:-

- 1. that 6 Professors, 16 Readers and 12 Assistant Professors joined the institution on 27.7.2002 i.e. 3 days before the inspection after transfer from other Govt. Medical Colleges of the State.
- 2. Principal is not the overall incharge of the hospital as per Council regulations.
- 3. The third lecture theatre as required at the time of 2nd renewal has not yet been completed (likely to be completed in October, 2002).
- 4. The work output of Microbiology, Histopathology and Cytopathology needs to be increased and service laboratories of Microbiology except serology need to be made functional.
- 5. The staff accommodation in the campus is not made available as per the time bound programme.
- 6. View boxes and examination trays need to be provided in all the OPDs and examination area of the patient needs to be partitioned with temporary partitions.
- 7. Very few staff rooms have been provided in the clinical departments. There is no office established in any of the clinical departments with the required clerk and furniture.
- 8. The nursing staff and non-teaching staff is inadequate.
- 9. Though one more Operating microscope for ophthalmology department, 1 Dental chair, Ultra sound machine for Obstetrics & Gynae. Department, color Doppler, Neonatology unit equipment have been made available but they are yet to become properly functional.
- 10. The family welfare planning unit in the Medical College at present is functioning under the Directorate of Health Services. It needs to be brought under the administrative and technical control of the medical college through head of department of Obst & Gync. One faculty member of the rank of Assoc. Professor/Asstt.Prof. need to be posted by rotation in family welfare planning.
- 11. At present the department of TB &Chest and Psychiatry have got single room OPD space, this needs to be increased to atleast 2 examination rooms in each OPD.

- 12. Hospital Bio Medical Waste Disposal in various sections like labs, wards, OPDs, injection rooms and OT's is not as per the requirements. These need to be immediately implemented by providing necessary arrangements in various places through a hospital waste disposal monitoring committee.
- 13. Other observations/deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report.

The Committee in view of the above noted deficiencies and also that 34 of the faculty members as narrated under point No. 1 joined the institution 3 days prior to the inspection after transfer from other Govt. Medical Colleges of the State decided to defer the consideration of the matter for obtaining the information from the Director, Medical Education, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and college authorities as to how the resultant vacancies created with the transfer of teachers from other Govt. Medical Colleges of the State to this college have been filled up.

28. <u>Medical College at Eluru, West Godavari Distt. By Allur Sitarama Raju Educational Society - renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students.</u>

Read: The Council Inspectors report (23rd & 24th July, 2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students at Medical College, Eluru.

The Executive Committee considered the Council Inspectors report (23rd & 24th July,2002) and noted the following:-

- 1. That 22 teaching staff including the residents have joined the institution in the month of May,2002, 101 teaching staff including Jr. Residents have joined the institution in the month of June,2002 and 42 teaching staff including residents have joined in the month of July,2002.
- 2. In the animal house facilities for animal experiments have not been provided.
- 3. Internet and meddler facilities are not provided in the central library. Seating capacity should be increased as per Council requirement.
- 4. Rural Health Training Centre (Denduluru Rural Health Centre) has to be further developed in its activities and infrastructural facilities.
- 5. The Medical Record Section has not started coding and classification of patient records as per the recommendation of WHO classification of diseases and it is not yet computerised.
- 6. In the Hospital clinical laboratories for Microbiology and Biochemistry investigations should be shifted as early as possible. At present the work is carried out in the college department.

- 7. More x-ray machines as per Council requirements are required to be added.
- 8. There is no mechanical laundry. Washing of linen is being done on a contract basis.
- 9. There is no kitchen.
- 10. There are no residential quarters for teaching and non-teaching staff in the campus. They are provided accommodation in the city in the rented buildings.
- 11. There are no quarters for nursing staff in the campus. At present 12 rooms are available in the rented building, which is 4 kms. away from the institute and even they are also not occupied.
- 12. Other observations/deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report.

In view of above, the Executive Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. not to renew the permission for admission of 3rd batch of students at Medical College at Eluru, West Godavari Distt. By Allur Sitarama Raju Educational Society for the academic session 2002-03.

29. (a) Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur – Renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students.

(b) Inspection of D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur regarding the common facilities being used by Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur and D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur as per court directions.

Read: The Council Inspectors report (26th & 27th July, 2002) of Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students and also to note the Inspection of D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur carried out regarding the common facilities being used by Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur and D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur as per court directions.

The Executive Committee noted that as per directions of the Hon'ble High Court of Judicature at Bombay in WP No. 2324 of 2000 filed by Dr. D.Y. Patil Education Society and Ors. Ptrs. Vs. State of Maharashtra & Ors. Respdts, inspection of Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students and Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur was carried out together regarding the common facilities being used by both the colleges on 26th & 27th July,2002.

From the inspection report, the Committee noted that the following common facilities of CRP Hospital, Kolhapur are used by Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur and Dr. D.Y. Patil Medical College, Kolhapur namely:-

Clinical laboratory Radiology, echocardiography Medical Store Linen, Central Sterilisation Record Room Blood Bank Postmortem Casualty Kitchen Laundry

The records of both the medical colleges are kept separately by the Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur as separate registers are maintained for each facility for D.Y. Patil Medical College and Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur.

115 beds of CRP Hospital are provided separately by Govt. of Maharashtra to D.Y. Patil Medical College. These beds are over and above 350 beds of Govt. Medical College.

In CRP Hospital O.P.D. is conducted every Wednesday by the staff of D.Y. Patil Medical College in Medicine, Surgery, Obstetrics & Gynae., Paediatrics and Orthopaedics by the staff of D.Y. Patil Medical College which is separate arrangement over and above what is conducted by the staff of Govt. Medical College.

Above mentioned facilities are used by both the institutions as a temporary arrangements as per court order till 31.07.03. After this all the facilities of hospital will be used by Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur.

Upon consideration of the overall inspection report, the Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to renew the permission for admission of 2nd batch of 100 students at Govt. Medical College, Kolhapur for the academic session 2002-03.

30. <u>Establishment of new medical college at Kuppam, Andhra</u> Pradesh by People Education Society, Bangalore.

Read: The surprise inspection report (12th July, 2002) of Medical College at Kuppam, A.P. carried out as per direction of the Administrator.

The Executive Committee noted that the compliance verification inspection report (11th & 12th June,2002) in the matter of establishment of a new medical college at Kuppam was considered by it at its meeting held on 24.06.2002 where it was decided to recommend to the Central Govt. to issue Letter of Permission (LOP) for establishment of amedical college at Kuppam with an annual intake of 100 students against the academic session 2002-03.

The Executive Committee also noted that the decision of the Executive Committee was communicated to the Central Govt. on 02.07.2002. However, another letter dated 02.07.2002 was also sent by the Administrator to the Central Govt. communicating his observations on the decision taken by the Committee at its meeting held on 24.06.2002. In that

letter the Administrator had requested the Central Govt. that the college should not be issued LOP as it deviated from the rules position and only LOI should be issued.

The Executive Committee further noted that on an anonymous complaint dated 26.12.2001 received against this medical college, the Administrator directed to conduct a surprise inspection of the college which was carried out on 12th July,2002.

The Committee decided not to take any action on this surprise inspection report which otherwise also does not differ much from the previous report as the Committee had already taken a decision to recommend to the Central Govt. to issue LOP for establishment of medical college at Kuppam at its meeting held on 24.06.2002 and moreover the inspectors carrying out this inspection were not appointed by the Committee. The Committee also decided that the Administrator who was not present in the previous meeting held on 24.06.2002 could not change the unanimous decision taken by the Executive Committee which already stood communicated to the Central Govt. and the Central Govt. has already issued the Letter of Intent on 19th July,2002.

The Executive Committee also noted that the Administrator is incurring expenditure from the funds of the MCI on travel to different institutions without any specific objective and also on his personal legal cases. It was noted that no report on the visit carried out by the Administrator of the various institutions has been submitted to the Executive Committee till date by the Administrator.

The Administrator informed that he was visiting the institution as a part of his duty.

The Committee decided that the Administrator is required to submit the report of his visit to the different institutions. The Committee further decided that if such wasteful expenditures incurred on travel and legal cases are declared illegal afterwards then what will be the modality of recovery to such expenditures. It was decided that a legal opinion may be obtained in this regard.

31. <u>Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal, Pondicherry - renewal of permission for admission of 5th batch of students.</u>

Read: The letter dt.14/6/2002 received from the Central Govt. renewing the permission for admission of 5th batch of students during the academic year 2001-2002 at Vinayaka Mission's Medical College, Karaikal and requesting the MCI to conduct compliance verification inspection of the compliance already sent for consideration of the above matter if deemed fit and send the recommendations of the Council to the Ministry.

The Executive Committee noted that inspection for renewal of permission for admission of 5^{th} batch of students was carried out by the Council Inspectors on 20^{th} & 21^{st} Sept.,2001 and the inspection report was

considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 3rd Oct.,2001. In view of the various deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report, the Committee did not recommend renewal of the permission for admission to the 5th batch of students.

On receipt of the compliance through the Central Govt. another inspection to verify the same was carried out on behalf of the Council on 19th & 20th Feb.,2002 and the compliance verification inspection report was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 24.04.2002. The college was again not recommended for renewal of permission for admission of 5th batch in view of the deficiencies detected in the inspection report.

The decision of the Executive Committee was communicated to the Central Govt. on 02.05.2002, in response to which the Central Govt. vide its letter dated 14th May,2002 had forwarded the compliance on the deficiencies pointed out in the MCI inspection report submitted by the college authorities for verification and submission of the recommendations of the Council thereon.

Inspectors were appointed for verification of the compliance thus received and the institution authorities were also informed regarding carrying out of the inspection vide MCI letter dated 06.06.2002.

The Committee further noted the Central Govt. letter dated 14.06.2002 where the Central Govt. had renewed the permission for admission of 5th batch of students during the academic session 2001-02 subject to the condition that 85% students are admitted in the college through the competent authority of the State Govt. and there should be minimum of 240 teaching days between two batches before they conduct examination as per the MCI regulations. In the same letter MCI was asked to conduct the inspection of the college for verification of the compliance which was already forwarded by the Ministry if deemed fit and send the recommendations of the Council.

The Executive Committee decided that the inspection as per procedure be carried out and the inspection report may be placed before the Executive Committee for its consideration. The inspection team had already been appointed by the Committee for verification of the compliance consisting of the following inspectors:-

Dr. Vir Babu Dr. S.K. Rajan Dr. C.A. Desai

Since Dr. Rajan had conveyed his inability to conduct the inspection, the Committee appointed Dr. T. Madan in his place.

32. <u>Inclusion of Basic Principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the course of MBBS.</u>

Read: The matter with regard to inclusion of Basic Principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the course of MBBS.

The Executive Committee noted that a reference for inclusion of Basic Principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the course of MBBS was received through the Central Govt., Ministry of Health & F.W. for comments of the Council and the matter was considered by the Committee at its meeting held on 8.9.2000. The Committee was of the view that even if a few principles of any other system of medicine are taught in the MBBS course it may lead to allopathic doctors practicing such system of medicine even with the meager knowledge that a student may acquire through such sensitization. Hence, the Committee decided not to include even the basic principles and concepts of Indian Systems of Medicine and Homeopathy in the MBBS course which is already over crowded with many details.

On receipt of further reference from the Central Govt., the matter along with the recommendations of Department of ISM & Homeopathy was again considered by the Committee and it was decided to form a Sub-Committee under the Chairmanship of Dr. K. Anand Kannan to study the recommendations of the above Department and advise regarding introduction of basic principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the course of MBBS.

The Sub-Committee in its final meeting held on 12.06.2001 submitted the report which was considered by the Executive Committee at its meeting held on 16.10.2001 and it was decided to obtain the opinions of Deans/Principals of all the medical colleges in India including the Director, Medical Education of all the States. Accordingly, a circular was sent on 22.11.2001.

The Committee considered the replies received in response to the above circular sent by the office and noted that approximately 60 medical colleges and only one DME of Govt. of Mizoram have responded. Out of this number 16 medical colleges and the DME of Govt. of Mizoram are in favour of inclusion of basic principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the course of MBBS, whereas others are not in favour of inclusion of the same in the medical curriculum.

The Executive Committee was of the opinion that since it is a larger issue having its merits and demerits the matter be considered at a larger forum i.e. by the General Body of the Council. However, the Executive Committee is of the view that inclusion of the basic principles and concepts of Ayurveda, Homeopathy, Unani, Sidha and Yoga in the MBBS course may lead to acquiring little knowledge of these systems which may be misused for practice in those systems by the allopathic doctors.

33. Appointment of Inspectors for carrying out the inspections at Undergraduate level.

The Executive Committee appointed following inspectors for carrying out the inspections at undergraduate level:-

1. North Bengal Medical College, Darjeeling - renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. 50 to 100 (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. M.M. Deka, Prof. Silchar Medical College

Dr. S.K. Verma, P.G.I., Chandigarh

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

2. NKP Salve Instt. of Medical Sciences, Nagpur - renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students against the increased intake i.e. 50 to 100 (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. Ravisevana, AIIMS, New Delhi

Dr. S.K. Aggarwal, Director-Prof. & Head, Deptt. of Medicine, MAMC, New Delhi

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

3. C.U. Shah Medical College, Surendranagar - renewal of permission for admission of 3rd batch of students (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. Bhopale, Govt. Medical College, Allahabad

Dr. Hardikar, KEM, Mumbai

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

4. Municipal Corporation Medical College, Muglisara Surat - renewal of permission of 3rd batch (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr. Bhopale, Govt. Medical College, Allahabad

Dr. Hardikar, KEM, Mumbai

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

5. K.A.P.Vishwananthan Govt. Medical College, Trichy – renewal of permission of 3rd batch (compliance verification Inspection):-

Dr.(Mrs.) S. Kantha, Bangalore

Dr. Mathew Thomas, Govt. Medical College, Trivandrum

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

6. Establishment of medical college at Karakonam by C.S.I.South Kerala Medical Mission, Karakonam – Inspection for grant of Letter of Permission:-

Dr. K. Ananda Kannan, Chennai

Dr. Vibha Deshpande, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

7. Establishment of new medical college at Pondicherry by Madras Medical Mission, Chennai – Inspection for grant of Letter of Permission:-

Dr. J.J. Oza, Jamnagar

Dr. Vir Babu,

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

8. Establishment of new medical college at Akola by Govt. of Maharashtra – Inspection for establishment of the college:-

Dr. Reva Chaudhuri, Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi

Dr. S.B. Agarwal, Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

9. Basaveswara Medical College and Hospital, Chitrudurga – renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch (compliance verification inspection):-

Dr. T.K.Parthasarthi, Sri Rama Chandra Medical College, Chennai

Dr. Rema, Prof. of Pharmacology, Trivandrum

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

10.Sri Vasant Rao Naik Govt. Medical College, Yavatmal – renewal of permission for admission of 4th batch against the increased intake i.e. 50-100 (compliance verification inspection):-

Dr. Reva Chaudhuri, Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi

Dr. S.B. Agarwal, Lady Hardinge Medical College, New Delhi

Dr. R.D. Bansal, Whole-time Inspector

11.Establishment of new medical college at Kolencherry, Kerala by Malankara Orthodox Syriam Church Medical Mission, Kolencherry – compliance verification inspection:-

Dr. Sudha Rao, JIPMER, Pondicherry

Dr. Hardikar, KEM, Mumbai

Dr. C.A. Desai, Ahmedabad

34. Appointment of Inspectors for carrying out the inspections of Postgraduate courses.

The Executive Committee appointed following inspectors for carrying out the inspection of various postgraduate courses:-

Institution	Subject	Name of Inspector
Madras MedicalCollege, Madras	D.M.(Clinical Haematology)	Dr. M.P. Agarwal, Mumbai
SethG.S.Medical	M.D.(Radiology)/Radio-	Dr. Suresh Shah, B.J.
College,Bombay S.M.S. Medical College, Jaipur	Diagnosis) M.Ch.(Cardio-thoracic Surgery)	Medical Col., Ahmedabad Dr. K.R. Shetty, Mumbai
Thanjavur Medical College, Thanjavur.	M.D.(General Medicine)	Dr. P. Sudha, Ex-Prof., Medical College, Trivandrum
	M.D.(Biochemistry)	Dr. Bhute, J.J.Hospital, Mumbai
	M.D.(Obst. & Gynae.) & D.G.O	Dr. Malni Desai, B.J. Medical Col., Ahmedabad
	M.D.(Paediatrics) D.C.H.	Dr. B.Sanjiv Rai, Mangalore
	M.S(General Surgery)	Dr. B.Surendera Rao, Hyderabad
	M.S.(Anatomy)	Dr.(Mrs.) S. Kantha, Bangalore
	D.O.	Dr. Bharat Ghodadara, B.J. Medical Col., Ahmedabad
	D.L.O.	Dr. Ogale, KEM, Mumbai
	D.D.	Dr. Hema Jairajani, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai
	D.M.R.D.	Dr. K. Taori, Prof. & Head, Govt. Medical College,
	D.Ortho.	Nagpur Dr. Chandanvala, J.J. Hospital, Mumbai
	D.T.C.D.	Dr. Kusum Saha, B.J. Medical Col., Ahmedabad
	D.P.M.	Dr. Praveen Lal, Trichur

The Executive Committee also appointed following inspector in place of earlier appointed inspector who had conveyed his inability to carry out the inspection:-

<u>Institution</u>	<u>Subject</u>	Name of Inspector
Govt. Medical College,	M.D.(Anaesthesiology)	Dr. Urmila Sathe, J.J.
Bhavnagar.		Hospital, Mumbai

35. To note the letter of Intent/letter of Permission/Renewal of permission for establishment of medical college/Increase of seats issued by the Central Govt.

The Executive Committee noted the letter of Intent/letter of Permission/Renewal of permission for establishment of medical college/

Increase of seats issued by the Central Govt. as under:-

Name of the Medical College	Date of LOI/LOP/renewal of
	permission.
Establishment of medical college – Prathima Instt. of	LOI dated 19 th July, 2002.
Medical Sciences at Nagunnur, Karimnagar by Prathima Educational Society.	
Establishment of medical college – Vydehi Instt. of	LOI dated 19 th July, 2002.
Medical Sciences & Research Center at Nallurahalli	LOI dated 19 July, 2002.
by Sreenivasa Trust, Bangalore.	
Establishment of medical college – P.E.S. Instt. of	LOI dated 19 th July, 2002.
Medical Sciences & Research at Kuppam by Peoples	Dordated 15 July, 2002.
Education Society, Bangalore.	
Establishment of medical college – A.J. Instt. of	LOI dated 19 th July, 2002.
Medical Sciences at Mangalore by Laxmi Memorial	3,
Education Trust, Mangalore.	
Establishment of medical college – MNR Medical	LOP dated 19 th March, 2002.This
College at Sangareddy.	LOP is subject to the condition that
	85% students are admitted in the
	college through the competent
	authority of the State Govt. and
	there should be minimum 240
	teaching days between two batches
	before they conduct examination as
Coi Como Dono Don Lunta of Malical Coinneas Coi	per the MCI Regulations
Sri Guru Ram Das Instt. of Medical Sciences, Sri	Letter of renewal of permission for
Amritsar.	admission of 6 th batch during 2002- 2003 dated 25 th June, 2002.
Aarupadai Veedu Medical College at Pondicherry	Letter of renewal of permission for
by TKVTSS Medical Education & Charitable Trust,	admission of 2 nd batch during
Salem.	2001-2002 dated 4 th June, 2002.
Sulcin.	This renewal of permission is
	subject to the condition that 85%
	students are admitted in the college
	through the competent authority of
	the State Govt. and there should be
	minimum 240 teaching days
	between two batches before they
	conduct examination as per the
	MCI Regulations.
Indira Gandhi Medical College, Nagpur.	Letter of renewal of permission for
	increase of seats (60 to 100) for the
	6 th batch during 2002-2003 dated
Doigh Mushigh Modical Callers A 1	19 th July, 2002.
Rajah Muthiah Medical College, Annamalainagar.	Letter of renewal of permission for
	increase of seats (125 to 150) for the 5 th batch during 2002-2003
	dated 19 th July, 2002.
Burdwan Medical College, Burdwan.	Letter of renewal of permission for
Barawan Medicar Conege, Burawan.	increase of seats (50 to 100) for the
	3 rd batch during 2002-2003 dated
	5 th June, 2002.
Establishment of new medical college at Elamakkara	Letter of Permission dated 19 th
by Amrita Instt. of Medical Sciences & Research	July, 2002 for establishment of the
Centre, Kochi.	college with annual intake of 100
	students from the academic session
	2002-2003.

36. <u>Membership and functioning of the Sub-Committees in the Council office – regarding.</u>

Read: The matter with regard to appointment of members on the Sub-Committees whose terms has expired and who had not attended the meeting on many occasions.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

37. Appointment of Advocate Shri Vivek Singh as Adhoc Retainer as Legal Advisor.

Read: The appointment of Advocate Vivek Singh as Adhoc Retainer as Legal Advisor.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

38. Recognition of Omayal Achi Community Health Centre, Arakkambakkam (T.N.) for purposes of Compulsory rotating internship training in Community Medicine.

Read: The matter with regard to recognition of Omayal Achi Community Health Centre, Arakkambakkam (T.N.) for purposes of Compulsory rotating internship training in Community Medicine.

The Executive Committee considered the matter with regard to recognition of Omayal Achi Community Health Centre, Arakkambakkam (T.N.) for purposes of compulsory rotating internship training in Community Medicine and decided not to recognise the same as such Centres/Hospitals cannot be considered for recognition only for one speciality particularly in the matters of recognition of hospitals for compulsory rotating internship training purposes.

39. Recognition of the medical course in English Language – Odessa State Medical University.

Read: The matter with regard to recognition of the medical course in English Language conducted by Odessa State Medical University.

The Executive Committee noted and agreed for the conduct of M.D.(Physician) medical course in English Language instead of Russian language being conducted by the Odessa State Medical University as per its earlier decision dated 14.7.2000 which was taken for Russian People's Friendship University.

40. To change the name of Hahnemann Medical College & Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA to MCP Hahnemann University.

The Executive Committee noted the change of name of Hahnemann Medical College & Hospital of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA to MCP Hahnemann University.

41. Removal of names of the deceased persons from the Indian Medical Register.

Read: The letter received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council with regard to removal of names of the persons who have since been expired and their names have been erased from the Register of Registered Medical Practitioner.

The Executive Committee noted the letter dated 03.07.2002 received from the Registrar, Rajasthan Medical Council, Jaipur intimating that following doctors have expired and their names have been erased from the register of Registered Medical Practitioners:-

- 1. Dr. Ishan Chandra Bhatt, Registration No. 3331 dated 28.12.1971
- 2. Dr.Banwari Lal Mathur, Registration No. 1680, dated 15.10.1966

The Committee decided to remove the names of above mentioned doctors from the Indian Medical Register and also to give intimation in this regard to all the State Medical Councils in the country.

42. Complaint against Dr. Ruchi Gupta of Adarsh Hospital – To consider the recommendations of the Ethical Committee with regard to removal of her name from the Medical Register for a period of six months.

Read: The complaint against Dr. Ruchi Gupta of Adarsh Hospital.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

43. Complaint against Dr. Praveen Garg, Karnal as alleged by Mr. Vuikra Rahjea due to causing death of Sandeep Kumar Raheja — To consider the recommendations of the Ethical Committee with regard to removal of his name from the Medical Register for a period of three months.

Read : The complaint against Dr. Praveen Garg, Karnal as alleged by Mr. Vuikra Raheja.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

44. Complaint against Dr. M.M. Bagati, Shivaji Medical Centre, Delhi as alleged by Shri Nihal Singh due to gross negligence and death of three months old male child — To consider the recommendations of the Ethical Committee with regard to removal of his name from the Medical Register for a period of one year.

Read: The complaint against Dr. M.M. Bagati, Shivaji Medical Centre, Delhi as alleged by Shri Nihal Singh.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

45. <u>Consideration of Scheme for Continuing Medical Education approved by Ethical Committee as part of Ethics Regulations & Proposal for its implementation.</u>

Read: The Scheme for Continuing Medical Education approved by the Ethical Committee as part of Ethics Regulations & proposals for its implementation.

The Executive Committee decided to defer the consideration of the matter for the next meeting.

46. Recognition of MBBS degree granted by H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar (Uttaranchal) in respect of students being trained at Himalyan Instt. of Medical Sciences, Dehradun.

Read: The letter received from the Central Govt. enclosing therewith a copy of letter dated 29.07.2002 received from the Registrar, H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar (Uttaranchal).

The Executive Committee considered the letter dated 29.07.2002 of the Registrar, H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar (Uttaranchal) received through the Central Govt., Ministry of Health & F.W. making request u/s 11(2) of the I.M.C. Act,1956 for recognition of MBBS degree granted by H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar (Uttaranchal).

The Committee noted that after the formation of Uttaranchal State, all the affiliated colleges with any of the recognised universities of Uttar Pradesh were transferred to their regional universities vide Uttaranchal Government Order No. 2272/HRD/2001-3/2/2001 dated June 15,2001. Subsequently, Himalayan Institute of Medical Sciences has been given permanent affiliation by this University for award of MBBS course.

The Committee further noted that the students who were admitted from the year 1996-97 onwards appeared in their respective examinations along with the remanded students of the final professional the examination of which was held in March,2002 conducted by this University.

The Committee also noted its decision which was taken in June,1988 i.e. "No inspection is required where there is change of name and change of affiliation of the University or the college whose medical qualifications are already recognised and included in the First Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 unless the Executive Committee decides otherwise".

Since Himalayan Instt. of Medical Sciences, Dehradun is already recognised under Ch. Charan Singh University, Meerut for the purposes of I.M.C. Act,1956, the Committee decided to recommend to the Council that MBBS degree granted by H.N.B. Garhwal University, Srinagar (Uttaranchal) in respect of students being trained at Himalayan Instt. of Medical Sciences, Dehradun be recognised w.e.f. March,2002 and included in the First Schedule to the I.M.C. Act,1956 being change of affiliation of the University.

47. <u>Sikkim Manipal Instt. of Medical Sciences, Gangtok – Renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students.</u>

Read: The Council Inspectors report (30th & 31st July,2002) for renewal of permission for admission of 2nd batch of students.

The Executive Committee considered the Council Inspectors report $(30^{th}~\&~31^{st}~July,2002)$ and noted the following:-

1. <u>Shortage of Staff:</u>

```
Professor –2 (Forensic Medicine-1, Radiology –1)
Associate Professor – 5 (Phy.–1, Micro.-1, Paediatrics –1, Surgery–1)
Asstt.Professor – 3 (Lecturer in Biophysics-1, Biochem.1, Medicine-1)
Tutor – 4 (Anatomy-1, Physiology-2, Radiology-1)
Jr. Resident – 7 (Skin & VD-1, Paed.-3, Surgery-2, Obst. & Gynae.-1)
Total – 21
```

- 2. Non-teaching staff appointed in the various departments had not been considered as they have obtained degree from Science faculty and not from Medical faculty.
- 3. The Animal House does not have a Veterinary Officer.
- 4. In the Library staff has not been appointed as per Council guidelines.
- 5. There is no hostel for resident doctors.
- 6. There is no hostel for nursing staff.
- 7. Residential quarters are not provided for Class III and IV employees.
- 8. All the consultant's room/examination room and teaching areas have not been provided with x-ray view box, examination tray.

- 9. There is no Audiometry technician and Speech Therapist.
- 10. Side Laboratory are not provided in all the wards.
- 11. Patients of Tuberculosis, Psychiatry and Skin & VD should be kept in separate ward instead of separate cubicle in the General Medicine ward.
- 12. Medical Record Section is not computerised.
- 13. In hospital clinical laboratory should start Microbiology and Biochemistry investigations.
- 14. ICCU is not established.
- 15. CSSD (Central Sterilisation Supply Department) has not been developed as per MCI guidelines.
- 16. Mechanical laundry has not yet been commissioned.
- 17. Dietician has not been appointed and there is no provision made to supply therapeutic diet.
- 18. Big size modern Incinerator is required to be installed.
- 19. There is overcrowding of patients in OPD as well as in the wards. At present hospital is under administrative and financial control of Government. Dean is having no control over the hospital. The staff of medical college has not started functioning in the Govt. Hospital. The institution has not yet finalised a definite plan of posting teaching staff of the medical college in the various departments of the STNM Hospital. Even the definite numbers of teaching beds are not given to medical college for patient care and teaching. Teaching areas are not provided in OPD and in the wards. There is need to change this service Hospital into teaching Hospital as per MCI guidelines.
- 20. Other observations/deficiencies pointed out in the inspection report.

In view of above, the Committee decided to recommend to the Central Govt. not to renew the permission for admission of 2nd batch of students at Sikkim Manipal Instt. of Medical Sciences, Gangtok for the academic session 2002-03.

48. Minutes of the meeting of the Finance Committee – Approval of.

The Executive Committee approved the minutes of the Finance Committee meeting held on 2nd August,2002.

49. Appointment of Shri R. Srinivasan as Consultant in the Council office.

Read: The matter with regard to appointment of Shri R. Srinivasan as Consultant in the Council office.

The Executive Committee noted that as per decision taken by it at its meeting held on 31.1.2002 Shri R. Srinivasan was relieved on 01.02.2002. Further as per directions of the Administrator he was again appointed as Consultant w.e.f.11.02.2002 till further orders or for a period of six months. The Committee raised objection regarding reappointment of Shri R. Srinivasan without the approval of Executive Committee. The Committee also raised the question as to why the matter of reappointment was not placed before the subsequent meetings of the Executive Committee for ratification or placed as an agenda item for its consideration. The Committee also noted that six months for which he was reappointed by the Administrator are going to be completed on 10.08.2002. Hence, it was decided that he may be relieved from the services of the Council as Consultant w.e.f. 10.08.2002.

50. Date and venue of the next meeting of the Executive Committee.

The Executive Committee decided that next meeting of the Committee will be held on 28.08.2002 in the Council office at New Delhi.

(Dr. M. Sachdeva) Secretary.

New Delhi, dated the 5th August,2002

<u>APPROVED</u>

(Maj Gen (Retd.) SP Jhingon) Administrator